UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

PHYLLIS H. MCGUIRE, et al.,

Plaintiffs, Civil Action No. 08-CV-12715

VS.

ZVONIMIR MATIJEVIC, et al.,

PAUL D. BORMAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Defendants.

ORDER REQUIRING RE-BRIEFING OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN LIGHT OF NEWLY-ADMITTED EVIDENCE

This is a negligence case arising out of an automobile accident. Plaintiffs are Phyllis McGuire ("McGuire") and her husband, Oscar McGuire ("Oscar"). Defendants are Zvonimir Matijevic and his employer, AFTIM 2000, Ltd. ("AFTIM") (collectively, "Defendants"). On June 1, 2007, McGuire's Chevy Blazer was sideswiped by a truck driven by Matijevic, in the course of his employment with AFTIM, as the two were making simultaneous left-hand turns in adjacent left-hand turn lanes. McGuire claims that she suffered a "serious impairment of a body function" under Mich. Comp. Laws § 500.3135(1), thereby entitling her to relief under Michigan's no-fault laws; Defendants, in their Motion for Summary Judgment, argue the opposite.

Defendants filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on January 27, 2010. The Court heard oral argument on March 31, 2010. The motion was taken under advisement by the Court and currently remains pending.

After the conclusion of the briefing on Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment,

Defendants filed a "Motion for Permission to Submit Additional Exhibits in Support of Their

Motion for Summary Judgment," which was granted by the Court on April 2, 2010, two days after

oral argument.

No objection was filed by McGuire to admission of these medical documents. The newly-

admitted documents evidence McGuire's extensive pre-collision medical history. The Court

believes that these documents are relevant to the analysis under Kreiner v. Fischer, 471 Mich. 109

(2004), inasmuch as Kreiner requires the Court to compare McGuire's pre- and post-collision

lifestyles.

Accordingly, the parties shall file supplemental briefs discussing these documents insofar

as they impact Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. Defendants' brief, not to exceed 15

pages in length, is due on April 30, 2010; McGuire's response brief, not to exceed 15 pages in

length, is due on May 14, 2010; Defendants' reply brief, not to exceed five pages in length, is due

on May 21, 2010.

SO ORDERED.

S/Paul D. Borman

PAUL D. BORMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: April 15, 2010

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2

Copies of this Order were served on the at	ttorneys of record by	electronic means	or U.S.	Mail on
April 15, 2010.				

S/Denise Goodine	
Case Manager	